

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 99-C-3605**

**DAVID G. ADKINS**

**V.**

**LESTER SHIELDS “BUDDY” HUCKABAY, III, SHERIFF;  
W. FOX McKEITHEN, HONORABLE SECRETARY OF STATE;  
THE HONORABLE JERRY FOWLER, COMMISSIONER OF ELECTIONS**

MARCUS, Justice (dissenting)

In my view, the irregularities in the absentee voting did not rise to the level requiring the election to be set aside. I find substantial compliance with the law. I would affirm the court of appeal. Accordingly, I respectfully dissent.

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**NO. 97-KK-2456**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**V.**

**SARAH HAVELY EDMONDSON**

MARCUS, Justice (dissenting)

The majority properly concluded that the determination of whether a statement has been voluntarily given or is a product of compulsion is analyzed under the “totality of circumstances” test. In my view, Edmondson’s statement was the result of a bargained for contract initiated by her with the state of Mississippi. I consider the statement was voluntarily given after consultation with counsel. It was not compelled. Therefore, I consider her statements involving the Louisiana crimes admissible. Accordingly, I respectfully dissent.

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**NO. 96-C-2839**

**KEITH W. MARCEAUX, ET UX.**

**V.**

**DENNY WAYNE GIBBS, ET AL.**

MARCUS, Justice (dissenting)

I dissent from the allocation of damages. I would find Gibbs 70% at fault and the town of Iota 30% at fault.

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**98-B-2646**

**IN RE: FRANK P. LETELLIER**

MARCUS, Justice (dissenting)

I agree with the recommendation of the Disciplinary Board to which the Office of Disciplinary Counsel did not initially object that respondent be suspended for a period of two years. Accordingly, I respectfully dissent.