SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 99-OB-2880

IN RE: BAR EXAM CLASS ACTION

PER CURIAM\*

This is an application directly to this court by persons who either failed or

conditionally failed the July 1999 bar examination. Applicants seek judicial review by

this court of the findings by the Committee on Bar Admissions that they did not pass

the examination. Applicants also seek class certification.

Applicants contend that the bar admission process is a judicial function. They

accordingly argue that only judges are empowered to perform judicial functions and

that judicial functions cannot be delegated to the non-judge members of the Committee

on Bar Admissions.

Original jurisdiction in most civil and criminal matters is vested in the district

courts. La. Const. art. V, §16(A). Arguably, this matter is not a civil or criminal

matter, and may be filed directly in this court as an administrative matter under our

supervisory authority over the practice of law. La. Const. art. V, §5; see also

Imbornone v. Early, 401 So. 2d 953 (La. 1981).

Nevertheless, the conclusion that this application, which is addressed to this

court's supervision of the bar admissions process, is properly filed directly in this court

does not dictate the further conclusion that justices (or judges) must grade bar

examinations or review examinations with failing grades.

This court has the exclusive and plenary power, emanating from the

\*Kimball, J., not on panel. Rule IV, Part 2, §3.

constitutional separation of powers, to define and regulate all facets of the practice of law, including the admission of attorneys to the bar, the professional responsibility and conduct of attorneys, the discipline of attorneys, and the attorney-client relationship. Succession of Wallace, 574 So. 2d 348 (La. 1991). Moreover, courts have the inherent power to do all things reasonably necessary for the exercise of their functions as courts. Konrad v. Jefferson Parish Council, 520 So. 2d 393 (La. 1988). This inherent power, which has been used to regulate the admission of attorneys to the practice of law, see In re Florida State Bar Ass'n, 134 Fla. 851, 186 So. 280 (1938), is a necessary concomitant to the judicial power, but pertains to the administration of the business of the courts. Konrad, supra at 397.

Functions of this court under the judicial power include both adjudicatory functions and administrative functions. The supervision of the bar admissions process, even though the supervision is performed by this court and applications regarding the process generally may be filed directly in this court, falls under this court's administrative authority and duties, and administrative functions within the process may be delegated to non-judges. See Whitfield v. Illinois Board of Law Examiners, 504 F.2d 474 (7th Cir. 1974) (the determination of which individuals have the requisite knowledge and skill to practice law may properly be committed to a body such as the Illinois Board of Bar Examiners); Rosenthal v. State Bar Examining Committee, 165 A. 211 (Conn. 1933) (while the admission of attorneys to practice before the courts is the function of the judicial branch, proceedings to determine the admission of attorneys are administrative in nature, and the procedure established by the court to make such determinations may properly be vested in the bar examining committee).

Accordingly, the application is denied.