03/11/05 "See News Release 017 for any concurrences and/or dissents." SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

NO. 05-B-0336

IN RE: DANIEL R. DEL PRIORE

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

PER CURIAM

On February 27, 2001, respondent pleaded guilty in the United States District

Court for the District of Guam to nine counts of mail fraud, violations of 18 U.S.C.

§ 1341, stemming from his conversion of \$491,837.94 in client funds. On September

14, 2001, respondent was sentenced to serve 21 months in prison, followed by three

years of supervised release, and was ordered to pay restitution to his victims.

Prior to the filing of formal charges, respondent and the ODC submitted a joint

petition for consent discipline. The parties stipulate to respondent's misconduct and

his subsequent criminal conviction, and seek his permanent disbarment from the

practice of law.

Based on our review of the petition, we agree that permanent disbarment is an

Respondent has engaged in some of the most serious appropriate sanction.

professional infractions an attorney can commit. Guideline 1 of the permanent

disbarment guidelines set forth in Supreme Court Rule XIX, Appendix E is clearly

applicable, which provides that permanent disbarment may be warranted in cases of

"repeated or multiple instances of intentional conversion of client funds with

substantial harm." Accordingly, having reviewed the petition,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Consent Discipline be accepted. The

name of Daniel R. Del Priore, Louisiana Bar Roll number 14845, shall be stricken

from the roll of attorneys and his license to practice law in the State of Louisiana shall

be revoked. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 24(A), it is further ordered that

respondent be permanently prohibited from being readmitted to the practice of law in this state.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all costs and expenses in the matter are assessed against respondent in accordance with Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 10.1, with legal interest to commence thirty days from the date of finality of this court's judgment until paid.