

10/23/2015 "See News Release 052 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 14-KH-2687**

**STATE EX REL. RONALD REYNOLDS**

**v.**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**On Supervisory and/or Remedial Writs from the  
Criminal District Court, Parish of Orleans**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Relator is not entitled to resentencing. See State v. Tate, 12-2763 (La. 11/5/13), 130 So.3d 829, cert. denied, Tate v. Louisiana, \_\_ U.S. \_\_, 134 S.Ct. 2663, 189 L.Ed.2d 214 (2014).

Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review.