

10/30/2015 "See News Release 053 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 14-KH-2696**

**STATE EX REL. WARREN C. REAVIS, III**

**v.**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**On Supervisory and/or Remedial Writs from the  
22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District Court, Parish of St. Tammany**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Relator is not entitled to an out-of-time appeal under the standard set forth in State v. Counterman, 475 So.2d 336 (La. 1985).

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended the article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The District Court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.