

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 15-KH-1342

STATE EX REL. MOZINE JOHNSON

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

**On Supervisory Writs to the
24th Judicial District Court, Parish of Jefferson**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Relator's application was not timely filed in the district court, and he has failed to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; State ex rel. Glover v. State, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189. In addition, relator's application is repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4.

Relator has now fully litigated multiple applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in state collateral proceedings in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless relator can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The District Court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.