### 02/19/2016 "See News Release 008 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

# SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

#### No. 14-KH-0453

### STATE EX REL. EMANUEL BROWN

v.

## STATE OF LOUISIANA

# ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

#### **PER CURIAM**:

Denied. Relator fails to show he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of <u>Strickland v. Washington</u>, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to the remaining claims, relator fails to satisfy his post-conviction burden of proof and/or state cognizable grounds for relief on collateral review. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.2; La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.3.

Relator has now fully litigated multiple applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended the article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral

review. The District Court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.