03/24/2016 "See News Release 017 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2016-B-0075

IN RE: JALILA BULLOCK

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING

CLARK, J., dissenting.

I respectfully dissent from the order issued by the majority for the reasons

that follow. While I agree that respondent should be suspended for a year and one

day, I disagree that six months of the suspension should be deferred. I would

suspend without any period of deferment.

While I acknowledge the parties' stipulation to the mitigating factor of a

timely good faith effort to make restitution or to rectify the consequences of the

misconduct, I see no evidence that any such effort was made to reconcile the harm

done to the client. In fact, I note with particular emphasis that the Disciplinary

Board qualified the stipulation as "highly questionable under the facts of this

matter." Thus, not only was no effort made towards restitution or making amends,

the client was actually left in an even worse position than the one she was

originally in as a result of the tragically unfortunate death of her child. I find the

respondent's actions to be particularly egregious in this regard.

Moreover, I find the respondent's act of neglecting her client's legal matter,

particularly one that sounds in such emotional distress, allowing it to prescribe, and

failing to communicate with her client warrants more discipline than that imposed

by the majority. It was only through the client and her family's efforts in going to

the courthouse to check on the status of the case that she was informed the case

had never been filed. Respondent intentionally and knowingly misled her about

the status of the matter when she stated that there was an issue with the insurance

company check. Thereafter, the client repeatedly asked for her file to be returned to her, to no avail; even at the time of the hearing the file had not been returned. Additionally, respondent exacerbated the situation by not disclosing her malpractice, creating yet another obstacle of prescription for her client to face. For these reasons, I dissent.