#### 05/27/2016 "See News Release 030 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

#### SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

# No. 16-KK-826

## STATE OF LOUISIANA

#### VERSUS

#### **DAMON TROY SKIPPER**

### ON SUPERVISORY WRIT FROM THE 14<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF CALCASIEU

#### **CRICHTON, J., additionally concurs and assigns reasons**

I concur in the majority's decision to deny the defendant's writ application in this case. I write separately, however, to point out that a defendant's constitutional right to choice of counsel is not absolute. *State v. Scott*, 04-1312 (La. 01/19/06); 921 So.2d 904, 916. The trial court correctly found that the cumulative actions of former Judge Wilford Carter in this matter, such as signing a "trap and trace" warrant leading to the location of defendant, signing a discovery motion, and representing defendant at a sanity hearing, rose to the level of substantial participation in the defendant's case, and therefore warrants removal as defendant's attorney. In so ruling, I do not find the trial court abused its discretion to any extent whatsoever.