

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 15-KH-2101**

**STATE EX REL. HAROLD REED, JR.**

**v.**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-SECOND  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST. TAMMANY**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and relator fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189. We attach hereto and make a part hereof the district court's written reasons denying relator's application.

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.

22<sup>ND</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
PARISH OF ST. TAMMANY

NO. 436,486

DOCKET "E"

STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

HAROLD REED

FILED: June 26, 2015

Sharon Traban  
DEPUTY CLERK

**DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF**

Petitioner filed this Application for Post-Conviction Relief on June 23, 2015.

Petitioner pled guilty to two counts of Molestation of a Juvenile on March 11, 2009. Two years later, he filed a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, which was denied by the court. His resulting writ applications were denied by the First Circuit Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court on June 15, 2012.

Petitioner filed an Application for Post-Conviction Relief on May 24, 2013 to which the Office of the District Attorney filed the procedural objection that the matter was time barred. The Court set the matter for hearing on September 11, 2013 and the Application was denied as untimely.

Pursuant to La.C.Cr.P. Art. 930.8 A, this application alleges none of the listed exceptions and is likewise untimely and is therefore, denied.

Signed this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015 in Covington, Louisiana.

T. M. L.