

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 16-KP-1149**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**VERSUS**

**ROBERT C. CARTER**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRIT TO THE TWENTY-FOURTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF JEFFERSON**

**CRICHTON, J.**, additionally concurs and assigns reasons:

I agree with the Court's decision to deny the writ application in this post-conviction matter. I write separately to note the deficient performance of defense counsel, as I have previously observed. *State v. Hickman*, 2017-0142 (La. 9/29/17, 2), 227 So.3d 246, 247 (Crichton, J., concurring) (noting "defense counsel's writ application to this court lacked legal support and was riddled with typographical errors, amounting to nothing more than an unsupported, near incomprehensible court filing."). As this writ application is no different, I remain concerned about the quality of defense counsel's work in these important post-conviction matters.