## SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

# No. 2016-KP-1485

#### STATE OF LOUISIANA

#### versus

#### **DWAYNE LEBLANC**

# ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ORLEANS

## **PER CURIAM**:

Denied. Relator's application was not timely filed in the district court, and he fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189. In addition, relator's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel is repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4(D). Finally, relator fails to show he is factually innocent of the crimes under the standard of *State v. Conway*, 01-2808 (La. 4/12/02), 816 So.2d 290.

Relator has now fully litigated two applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court

is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.