

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 16-KP-2254

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

TEDDY R. MAGEE

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE CRIMINAL DISTRICT
COURT, PARISH OF ORLEANS**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Relator fails to show he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). Relator's remaining claims are repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4(A); *see also State v. Lee*, 14-2374, pp. 8–9 (La. 9/18/15), 181 So.3d 631, 638 (an "attempt to re-litigate a claim that has been previously disposed of, by couching it as a post-conviction ineffective assistance of counsel claim, [should be] generally unavailing").

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies,

relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.