

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 17-KH-1438**

**STATE EX REL. PHIL ANTHONY DEES**

**v.**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE NINETEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Relator fails to show that he was denied the effective assistance of counsel during plea negotiations under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to his remaining claim, relator's guilty plea waived all non-jurisdictional defects in the proceedings leading to his conviction. *State v. Crosby*, 338 So.2d 584, 586 (La. 1976).

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.