

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 2018-KP-0427**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**versus**

**PATRICK WILLIAMS**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL  
DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF TANGIPAHOA**

**Crichton, J., additionally concurs and assigns reasons**

After defendant is resentenced pursuant to *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 577 U.S. —, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d 599 (2016) and *Miller v. Alabama*, 567 U.S. 460, 132 S.Ct. 2455, 183 L.Ed.2d 407 (2012), that judgment is included by statute among those which defendant may appeal. *See* La.C.Cr.P. art. 912(C)(1); *see, e.g., also State ex rel. Braud v. State*, 15-0701 (La. 3/2/18), 237 So.3d 504. I additionally concur in the denial of defendant's writ application because the parties agree the question presented is *res nova*, and I believe the issue merits careful scrutiny, which can be better accomplished with the benefit of a fully developed record on appeal.