

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 2019-B-1317

IN RE: MICHAEL SEAN REID

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING

CRICHTON, J., additionally concurs and assigns reasons:

I dissented from (regular) disbarment in *Reid I*, as did Justice Clark, because of the extensive damage perpetrated by this former lawyer on multiple clients and the noble profession of law.¹ Now, this Court has learned of seven additional victims of Mr. Reid and yet more damage. The *Chatelain* rationale is clearly inapplicable in this case. Moreover, facing these serious charges, this disgraced former lawyer—as noted in the per curiam—has “failed to respond” to the ODC, to the members of the hearing committee who gathered to deliberate his case, or even to this Court. Such indifference and disdain for the profession is appalling. I therefore once again support permanent disbarment of Mr. Reid.

¹ Appendix E of Rule XIX provides the Guidelines for disbarment, and under Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 24(A), permanent disbarment prohibits an attorney from ever being readmitted to the practice of law in this state. Regular disbarment allows an attorney to petition for readmission five years after the effective date of disbarment.