

10/23/2015 "See News Release 052 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 15-KP-1164

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

DUSTIN GORE

**On Writ of Supervisory Review to the
32nd Judicial District Court, Parish of Terrebonne**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. The district court correctly defaulted relator's application under the provision for repetitive and successive applications set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4(D) because he raised the same claim in his earlier pro se application.

Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in state collateral proceedings in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless relator can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review.