

**12/16/2016 "See News Release 076 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."**

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 15-KH-1897**

**STATE EX REL. KENNETH ADAMS A/K/A KENNETH ADAMS**

**v.**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FOURTH**

**JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF JEFFERSON**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Relator failed to raise his insufficient evidence claim in the courts below. *Segura v. Frank*, 93-1271 (La. 1/14/94), 630 So.2d 714, 725. Moreover, relator is not entitled to assert an insufficient evidence claim as his unconditional guilty plea waived all non-jurisdictional defects. *See State v. Crosby*, 338 So.2d 584, 586 (La. 1976).

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The District Court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.