#### SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

### No. 16-KK-826

#### STATE OF LOUISIANA

#### **VERSUS**

#### **DAMON TROY SKIPPER**

# ON SUPERVISORY WRIT FROM THE $14^{TH}$ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF CALCASIEU

## CRICHTON, J., additionally concurs and assigns reasons

I concur in the majority's decision to deny the defendant's writ application in this case. I write separately, however, to point out that a defendant's constitutional right to choice of counsel is not absolute. *State v. Scott*, 04-1312 (La. 01/19/06); 921 So.2d 904, 916. The trial court correctly found that the cumulative actions of former Judge Wilford Carter in this matter, such as signing a "trap and trace" warrant leading to the location of defendant, signing a discovery motion, and representing defendant at a sanity hearing, rose to the level of substantial participation in the defendant's case, and therefore warrants removal as defendant's attorney. In so ruling, I do not find the trial court abused its discretion to any extent whatsoever.