06/05/2017 "See News Release 031 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents."

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 16-KH-0476

STATE EX REL. MURPHY L. CALAIS

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST. MARTIN

PER CURIAM:

Denied. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and relator fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189. Furthermore, to the extent relator contends his claim is jurisdictional, relator fails to show that the indictment was defective. *See* La.C.Cr.P. art. 487.

Relator has now fully litigated at least two applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral

review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.