

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 16-KH-0737

STATE EX REL. WILFORD ROBERTS, JR.

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE CRIMINAL
DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ORLEANS**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Relator's self-defense claim is repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4(A); *see State v. Roberts*, 10-1091 (La. App. 4 Cir. 2/16/11), 57 So.3d 617, writ denied, 11-0511 (La. 9/30/11), 71 So.3d 280. In addition, relator fails to show he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in state collateral proceedings in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his

right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.