

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 16-KH-1167**

**STATE EX REL. LOUIS JOSEPH GEORGE**

**v.**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIFTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF LAFAYETTE**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. The district court's minute entry provided sufficient notice of the court's denial of relator's application for post-conviction relief. *Cf. State ex rel. Foy v. Criminal Dist. Court*, 96-0519, pp. 1-2 (La. 3/15/96), 669 So.2d 393, 393. Furthermore, relator fails to show he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in state collateral proceedings in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his

right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.