

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 16-KH-2063

STATE EX REL. ASHTON CAMPBELL

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-NINTH JUDICIAL
DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST. CHARLES**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Relator's conviction and sentence became final in 2010, and he fails to offer proof that his application was timely filed in the district court or that any exception to the time limitation applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189.

Relator has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Relator's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, relator has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.