

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

IN RE: RICARDO A. CABALLERO

No. 2023-B-00632

IN RE: Office of Disciplinary Counsel - Applicant Other; Ricardo A. Caballero -
Joint Petition for Consent Discipline;

June 21, 2023

Joint petition for consent discipline accepted. See per curiam.

JTG

JLW

JDH

SJC

WJC

JBM

Griffin, J., dissents and would reject the proposed discipline as too harsh.

Supreme Court of Louisiana

June 21, 2023



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2023-B-0632

IN RE: RICARDO A. CABALLERO

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING

PER CURIAM

The Office of Disciplinary Counsel (“ODC”) commenced an investigation into allegations that respondent mishandled his client trust account. Prior to the filing of formal charges, respondent and the ODC submitted a joint petition for consent discipline in which respondent admitted that he violated Rules 1.15(a), 1.15(b), and 1.15(f) of the Rules of Professional Conduct. Having reviewed the petition,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Consent Discipline be accepted and that Ricardo A. Caballero, also known as Rick A. Caballero, Louisiana Bar Roll number 1744, be and he hereby is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year and one day. All but ninety days of this suspension shall be deferred, followed by a two-year period of supervised probation governed by the conditions set forth in the petition for consent discipline. The probationary period shall commence from the date respondent, the probation monitor, and the ODC execute a formal probation plan. Any failure of respondent to comply with the conditions of probation, or any misconduct during the probationary period, may be grounds for making the deferred portion of the suspension executory, or imposing additional discipline, as appropriate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all costs and expenses in the matter are assessed against respondent in accordance with Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 10.1, with legal interest to commence thirty days from the date of finality of this court’s judgment until paid.